

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/085,755	05/27/1998	FRAMPTON ERROLL ELLIS, III	GNC12US	7351	
7.	590 02/07/2002				
	, MADISON & SUTI	EXAMINER			
NINTH FLOO	AL PROPERTY GROUND REAST TOWER	DINH, DUNG C			
	NRK AVENUE NW N. DC 200053918		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		2153	· · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/085,755	05/27/1998	FRAMPTON ERROLL ELLIS, III	GNC12US	7351
7	590 12/05/2001			
PILLSBURY	, MADISON & SUTF	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY GROU	DINH, DUNG C		
	R EAST TOWER			
	N, DC 200053918		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2153	
		DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

HG

				- 1				
	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	1				
•	09/085,75	5	ELLIS, III, FRAMPTO	N ERROLL				
J Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Dung Dint		2153					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.5	September :	<u> 2001</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under				nerits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>9-41</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from cor	nsideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-41</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce								
Applicant may not request that any objection to th								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(d) or (t).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>1</u>		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-15					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/085,755

Art Unit: 2153

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive of the claimed invention. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertazzi et al. US patent 5,889,989 and further in view of Hodroff US patent 5,592,376.

As per claim 9, Robertazzi discloses a network server (controller 103) for a network of computers (107,105,109) comprising:

a first mechanism for the network server to function as a master in a shared processing operation, including parallel processing, involving at least two personal computers, connected

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/085,755

Art Unit: 2153

to the network server through the network (114), functioning as slaves to said master (col.1 lines 10-15);

a second mechanism for the master network serer to subdivide the operation into a plularity of parts, and to send one of the parts to each of the slaves for processing by the slaves (col.2 lines 66 - col.3 line 5); and

a compensation determing mechanism to determine compensation for processing service provided by the personal computers in the shared processing operation (col.3 lines 22-42).

Robertazzi does not teaches determining a net charge based not a difference between the monitored amount of processing power provided and the monitored amount of network resource used by the personal computer.

It is known in the art to barter / exchange excess capacity for other goods and services. Hodroff discloses one such system [col.5 lines 16-24, col.2 lines 61-64]. The type of good or service being exchange for the excess capacity clearly would have been a matter of agreement among the participating parties. Since, the user normally must pay for network service. It would have been clearly obvious to barter the excess processing power (i.e. idle computer processing power) in exchange for discount on network access because it would have reduced the cost of the user's network access usage.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/085,755

Art Unit: 2153

It is basic business practice that a net charge is based on the difference of the amount of credit earned and the amount of credit spend. It is inherent that the system would have a monitoring mechanism to measure the amount of processing power provided from the user and the amount of network access used by the user in order to maintain and calculate the net cost/credit to the user of the personal computer.

As per the various limiations claimed in 7 to 41: Internet, single chip computer, transmission speed, etc., these limiations are clearly obvious variation within scope of the prior art teaching. The financial and cost calculations, measurement would have clearly been apparent as a business process of bartering/exchange of computer processing power.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (703) 305-4792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2100 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Application/Control Number: 09/085,755

Art Unit: 2153

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7238, (for formal communications intended for entry) (703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Dung Dinh

Primary Examiner November 30, 2001 Page 5